Effectiveness of BNT162b2 Vaccine against Delta Variant in Adolescents

TO THE EDITOR: The B.1.617.2 (delta) variant of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has emerged as the dominant strain circulating in many regions worldwide. The BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine against coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) was found to be effective in preventing infection with the delta variant in a recent observational study,1 but other reports have suggested reduced vaccine effectiveness against this variant.2,3 On May 10, 2021, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved the emergency use of BNT162b2 in adolescents 12 years of age or older on the basis of a clinical trial that had been conducted before the delta variant had become prevalent in the United States.4 Additional evidence was needed regarding the effectiveness of the BNT162b2 vaccine among adolescents, particularly against the delta variant.

We sought to estimate the vaccine effectiveness of BNT162b2 against the delta variant among vaccinated adolescents for whom an unvaccinated match was found. We used data from Clalit Health Services, the largest health care organization in Israel, to conduct an observational cohort study involving adolescents between the ages of 12 and 18 years who had no prior SARS-CoV-2 infection noted in their electronic medical record and who had been vaccinated between June 8 and September 14, 2021. According to the sequencing of samples obtained from infected persons that was performed by the Israeli Ministry of Health during this period, the delta variant was responsible for more than 95% of new infections in the general population in Israel.

We used the same methods that were used in our previous studies of vaccine effectiveness, which were conducted in the same health care organization using the same database.5 (See the Methods section in the Supplementary Appendix, available with the full text of this letter at NEJM.org.) Vaccine effectiveness was defined as 1 minus the risk ratio, which was estimated over several follow-up periods for documented SARS-CoV-2 infection and symptomatic Covid-19. More severe outcomes related to Covid-19 are rare in this age group.

Of 184,905 vaccinated adolescents, 130,464 met the eligibility requirements, and 94,354 of these vaccine recipients were successfully matched with 94,354 unvaccinated controls (Fig. S1 and the Methods section in the Supplementary Appendix). The eligible population was similar to the matched population with respect to several demographic and clinical characteristics (Tables
The frequency of polymerase-chain-reaction testing for SARS-CoV-2 was similar in the vaccinated and unvaccinated populations (9.4 and 9.9 tests per 100 persons per week, respectively). The median follow-up was 27 days after baseline, which was defined as the administration of the first dose among the vaccine recipients. Kaplan–Meier curves for SARS-CoV-2 infection in both the vaccinated and unvaccinated groups were similar during the initial days, after which the incidence began to rise more slowly in the vaccinated group (Table 1 and Fig. S2).

The estimated vaccine effectiveness against documented SARS-CoV-2 infection was 59% (95% confidence interval [CI], 52 to 65) on days 14 through 20 after the first dose, 66% (95% CI, 59 to 72) on days 21 to 27 after the first dose, and 90% (95% CI, 88 to 92) on days 7 to 21 after the second dose. The estimated vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic Covid-19 was 57% (95% CI, 39 to 71) on days 14 to 20 after the first dose, 82% (95% CI, 73 to 91) on days 21 to 27 after the first dose, and 93% (95% CI, 88 to 97) on days 7 to 21 after the second dose.

In a recent randomized trial involving 1983 vaccinated adolescents between the ages of 12 and 15 years with no history of SARS-CoV-2 infection, investigators estimated that the vaccine effectiveness of two doses of BNT162b2 was 100% (95% CI, 75 to 100) against symptomatic infection by non-delta variants. The present observational study provides substantially more precise estimates of vaccine effectiveness among adolescents between the ages of 12 and 18 years for both documented infection and symptomatic disease in a setting in which the delta variant was predominant. Our estimates of the effectiveness of two doses of the BNT162b2 vaccine against the delta variant among adolescents are similar to estimates of effectiveness against the alpha variant in the general population with the use of the same study design and are similar to the estimate of 88% (95% CI, 85 to 90) against the delta variant in the general population in an observational study that used a different design.

Our results show that the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine was highly effective in the first few weeks after vaccination against both documented infection and symptomatic Covid-19 with the delta variant among adolescents between the ages of 12 and 18 years.

### Table 1. Effectiveness of BNT162b2 Vaccine among Adolescents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Documented SARS-CoV-2 Infection</th>
<th>Symptomatic Covid-19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unvaccinated Group</td>
<td>Vaccinated Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days 14–20 after first dose</td>
<td>463 (69,408)</td>
<td>192 (69,609)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days 21–27 after first dose</td>
<td>400 (57,358)</td>
<td>137 (57,358)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days 7–21 after second dose</td>
<td>818 (46,815)</td>
<td>79 (46,815)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are for adolescents aged 12 to 18 years who were members of Clalit Health Services from June 8 to September 14, 2021. The study population included 94,354 adolescents in both the unvaccinated and vaccinated groups.
Vaccine-Induced Thrombocytopenia with Severe Headache

TO THE EDITOR: Vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia (VITT), a serious adverse event after vaccination with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AstraZeneca) or Ad26.COV2.S (Johnson & Johnson–Janssen), is caused by platelet factor 4 (PF4)–dependent, platelet-activating antibodies.1,2 High-dose immune globulins and anticoagulation are the main treatments.3,4 In this report, we present evidence that vaccine-induced thrombocytopenia (VIT) without associated cerebral venous sinus thrombosis (CVST) or other thromboses and with severe headache as the heraldic symptom may precede VITT (“pre-VITT syndrome”).

Eleven patients presented with severe headache in the absence of CVST 5 to 18 days after ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccination. All the patients had thrombocytopenia, high D-dimer levels, and high levels of anti–PF4–heparin IgG antibodies. During follow-up, intracranial hemorrhage occurred in three patients (Patients 1, 2, and 3), with radiologic evidence of new CVST in Patients 2 and 3 (Fig. 1, and Table S1 in the Supplementary Appendix, available with the full text of this letter at NEJM.org). Only two patients (Patients 2 and 4) were initially admitted with conditions that met the criteria for VITT; both patients had pulmonary embolism, and additional splanchic vein thrombosis was present in Patient 2. In Patient 2, anticoagulation treatment had been initiated several days earlier for pulmonary embolism (without diagnosis of VITT) but was stopped after the onset of headache, shortly before CVST developed. In two patients (Patients 1 and 3), peripheral thromboses were eventually identified during follow-up. Thrombotic complications did not develop in seven of the patients (Patients 5 through 11); all but one of these patients received high-dose immune globulin, glucocorticoids, or therapeutic-dose anticoagulation within 5 days after headache onset. In contrast, in all four patients with subsequent thrombosis (Patients 1 through 4), therapeutic-dose anticoagulation either was not started until 6 to 9 days after headache onset or was stopped prematurely before the development of CVST.

Although the combination of thrombocytopenia and severe headache due to CVST has been recognized as the typical presentation of VITT,1,2 the experience with these 11 patients suggests that VIT with severe headache, elevated D-dimer levels, and strongly positive results on anti–PF4–heparin IgG enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay may precede VITT.

Our findings have immediate implications...